



CR 101
9

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
(CHHATTISGARH)

Single Bench

MISC. CIVIL CASE NO. 92 OF 2005

APPLICANT

Raipur Development Authority,
The body incorporated under
Chhattisgarh Nagar Tatha Gram
Nivesh Adhiniyam, 1973, Having
Its Office Near Bajarang Mandir,
G. E. Road, Raipur Through its
Chief Executive Officer, Raipur
(Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

NON-APPLICANTS

1. Smt. Lata Surana, W/o Surendra
Surana, aged about 24 years,
R/o Civil Lines, Raipur (CG)
2. State of Chhattisgarh through
The Secretary, Environment &
Urban Development Department
Mantralaya, Dau Kalyan Singh
Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Municipal Corporation
Raipur, a body incorporated,
Under Sec.-7 of CG Municipal
Corporation Act, 1956, having
Its office at Malviya Road,
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No.
Presented by Shri.
dated
25-04-05
25-04-05
25-04-05

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR COMPLETING
THE PROCEEDINGS IN COMPLIANCE OF ORDER DATED
15-02-2005 PASSED BY THE HON'BLD COURT IN
W.P.NO.1339/2002

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	31-8-2006 Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Sr. Adv. with Smt. Smita Ghai, Adv. for the applicant. Shri P. Diwakar, Sr. Adv. with Shri P.R. Patankar, Adv. for the respondent no. 1. Shri Prashant Mishra, Addl. Adv. General with Shri U.N.S. Deo, Govt. Adv. for the State. Heard. This is an application for extension of time for completing the proceedings in compliance of order dated 15-2-2005 passed by this Court in W.P. 1339/2002. While disposing of the W.P. No. 1339/2002, a direction was given to the petitioner therein to submit a suitable representation before the Raipur Development Authority within 15 days, which was to be decided by the Raipur Development Authority, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation in accordance with resolution. Counsel for the petitioner submits that orders could not be passed in time but subsequently orders have been passed. Since orders have been passed by the petitioner, this petition has become infructuous. The petition is dismissed as infructuous.	

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

P.T.O.

8/9/15